

Mr David Hutchison Pegasus Group Pegasus House Querns Business Centre Whitworth Road Cirencester GL71RT

PLANNING REFUSAL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (AMENDMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015

APPLICATION NUMBER: AWDM/1264/20

Details of Development

MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT COMPRISING UP TO 475 DWELLINGS ALONG WITH ASSOCIATED ACCESS, INTERNAL ROADS AND FOOTPATHS, CAR PARKING, PUBLIC OPEN SPACE, LANDSCAPING, LOCAL CENTRE (USES INCLUDING A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, D1, D2, AS PROPOSED TO BE AMENDED TO USE CLASSES E, F AND SUI GENERIS) WITH ASSOCIATED CAR PARKING, CAR PARKING FOR THE ADJACENT RAILWAY STATION, UNDERGROUNDING OF OVERHEAD HV CABLES AND OTHER SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES (OUTLINE WITH ALL MATTERS RESERVED)

Location of Development

LAND NORTH WEST OF GORING RAILWAY STATION GORING STREET WORTHING WEST SUSSEX

In pursuance of their powers under the above-mentioned Act and Order the Council hereby notify you that they REFUSE to permit the above development, in accordance with the application and relevant correspondence registered on 10th August 2020.

The reasons for the Councils decision to refuse to permit the development are stated on the schedule overleaf.

Gary Peck Planning Services Manager 11/03/2021

Worthing Borough Council, Development Management, Economy Directorate, Portland House, 44 Richmond Road, Worthing, West Sussex, BN11 1HS Tel: 01903 221065 Email: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk Web: www.adur-worthing.gov.uk

SCHEDULE

Reasons for Refusal

- 01. The proposed development is outside of the built-up area as defined in the Worthing Core Strategy and the emerging Submission Draft Worthing Local Plan and is not allocated for residential development. The proposal is therefore contrary to policy 13 of the Worthing Core Strategy and emerging policies SS4, SS5 and SS6 of the Submission Draft Worthing Local Plan, resulting in the coalescence of settlements and the loss of an important area of green space that contributes to local amenity, sense of place and wildlife. Furthermore, it is considered that the adverse impacts of the development would demonstrably outweigh the benefits as substantial adverse landscape and visual effects would arise from the development affecting the local area and the wider landscape, including the landscape setting to the National Park (therefore adversely affecting its statutory purpose to conserve and enhance its natural beauty and cultural heritage), Highdown Hill scheduled Monument and the Conservation Area.
- 02. The application is considered to be premature as the development proposed is so substantial, and its cumulative effect would be so significant, that to grant permission would undermine the plan-making process in particular its overall spatial strategy about the location of new development, its landscape evidence and proposed green space designations that are central to the emerging Submission Draft Worthing Local Plan. The proposal therefore fails to comply with paragraph 49 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 03. The Local Planning Authority is not satisfied that adequate information has been submitted to demonstrate that the proposal is acceptable in terms of access and would not therefore give rise to increased hazards to highway users including the Strategic Road Network. The proposal therefore fails to comply with the relevant guidance of the National Planning Policy Framework which requires that the potential impacts of development on transport networks can be addressed in development proposals.
- 04. The Local Planning Authority does not consider that adequate information has been submitted to demonstrate that the mitigation proposed is acceptable in terms of its impact on the local highway network including (but not limited to) the Goring Crossroads and A259/ Goring Way / Aldsworth Avenue junctions and Strategic Road Network. As such it has not been demonstrated that the development would not have a severe impact on the local highway network and therefore the proposal fails to comply with paragraph 109 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019.
- 05. It has not been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the development provides suitable mitigation for the impact of the development upon ground nesting birds.
- 06. It has not been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the infrastructure requirements of the development can be adequately met in respect of the provision of affordable housing, public and open space, highways improvements and off site mitigation for the provision of nesting birds.

Informatives / Notes to Applicant

01. For the avoidance of doubt this refusal relates to the following drawings/plans:-

	-	• •
Reference/Drawing Number	Version	Date Rec
COVER LETTER	07.08.2020	10.08.2020
SITE LOCATION PLAN - 02	SLP-02	10.08.2020
CONCEPT MASTERPLAN - 02	CMP-02	10.08.2020
DESIGN & ACCESS STATEMENT	August 2020	10.08.2020
PLANNING STATEMENT	DH CIR.P.1173	10.08.2020
STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	DH/AJ CIR.P.1173PL	10.08.2020
BUILT HERITAGE STATEMENT	P19-3046 August 2020	10.08.2020
TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT	August 2020	10.08.2020
RESIDENTIAL TRAVEL PLAN	August 2020	10.08.2020
ACOUSTIC REPORT	SA-5751	10.08.2020
HYDRAULIC MODEL REPORT	5216_BP_civils_ Goring	10.08.2020
ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESK-BASED ASSESSMENT	DH/25001	10.08.2020
ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS ASSESSMENT	20056- Constraints2-AN	10.08.2020
ARBORICULTURAL CONSTRAINTS PLAN	20056-BT2	10.08.2020
BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN ASSESSMENT	July 2020	10.08.2020

Reference/Drawing Number BREEDING BIRD SURVEY	Version August 2020	Date Rec 10.08.2020
WINTER BIRD SURVEY	July 2020	10.08.2020
LANDSCAPE & VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	CSA/2304/08	14.08.2020
HYDRAULIC MODELLING	5216-LTR-02	14.08.2020
FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT & DRAINAGE STRATEGY	D1586/FRA/1.3	14.08.2020

02. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by identifying matters of concern with the proposal and discussing those with the Applicant. However, the issues are so fundamental to the proposal that it has not been possible to negotiate a satisfactory way forward and due to the harm which has been clearly identified within the reason(s) for the refusal, approval has not been possible.

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT) (AMENDMENT) (ENGLAND) ORDER 2015

YOUR ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO THE FOLLOWING NOTES FROM THE ABOVE ORDER. THEY ARE FOR INFORMATION ONLY AND DO NOT PRETEND TO SET OUT THE WHOLE OF THE LAW ON THE SUBJECT. IT WOULD BE WELL FOR YOU TO CONSULT YOUR SOLICITOR IF YOU ARE IN ANY DOUBT

Appeals to the Secretary of State

- 1. If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you can appeal to the Secretary of State under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- If you want to appeal, then you must do so within six months, 12 weeks for a minor commercial (shop front) of the date of this notice. Appeals can be made online at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate</u>. If you are unable to access the online appeal form, please contact the Planning Inspectorate to obtain a paper copy of the appeal form on tel: 0303 444 5000.
- 3. The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but he will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.
- 4. The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to him that the local planning authority could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions they imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.
- 5. In practice, the Secretary of State does not refuse to consider appeals solely because the local planning authority based their decision on a direction given by him.
- 6. If you intend to submit an appeal that you would like examined by inquiry then you must notify the Local Planning Authority and Planning Inspectorate (inquiryappeals@planninginspectorate.gov.uk) at least 10 days before submitting the appeal. <u>Further details are on GOV.UK</u>.

Purchase Notices

- 7. If either the local planning authority or the Secretary of State refuses permission to develop land or grants it subject to conditions, the owner may claim that he can neither put the land to a reasonably beneficial use in its existing state nor render the land capable of a reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted.
- In these circumstances, the owner may serve a purchase notice on the District Council in whose area the land is situated. This notice will require the Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part VI of the Town and Planning Act 1990.
- 9. Further correspondence about this application should quote the reference number at the top right hand corner of the form.
- 10. Where this notice conveys approval or permission, conditional or unconditional, please note that the decision given does not purport to convey any consent or approval which may be required under the Building Act and Building Regulations, or any other legislation.

Reduced time for making a planning appeal where enforcement action is being taken

Where an enforcement notice has been served in relation to the same, or substantially the same, development as in the planning application no earlier than 2 years before the date the application was made, the period for receiving a planning appeal is within 28 days of the date on the planning application decision notice or of the date by which the LPA should have decided the application.

However, the LPA may formally decline to determine a retrospective planning application for permission for any development contained within a pre-existing enforcement notice served on or after 6 April 2012, where that notice was issued before the application was received by the LPA (see above). There is no right to make a planning appeal in such circumstances.

Where an enforcement notice was served in relation to the same, or substantially the same, development after the decision notice on the application was issued or after the end of the period the LPA had to determine the application, the period for receiving a planning appeal is within 28 days of the date the enforcement notice was served (unless this would extend the period beyond the normal 12 weeks or 6 months deadline).